

**Common Fixed Point Theorem for weakly compatible mappings adopting property (EA) on Dislocated  $S_b$ - Metric Spaces**

Saraswathi Muthusamy<sup>1\*</sup>, Dhivya Subramaniam<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1\*,1</sup>*Department of Mathematics  
Kandaswami Kandar’s College,  
(Affiliated to Periyar University, Salem)  
Velur, Namakkal(Dt), Tamilnadu, India*

<sup>1\*</sup>[msmathsnkl@gmail.com](mailto:msmathsnkl@gmail.com), <sup>1</sup>[dhivimax@gmail.com](mailto:dhivimax@gmail.com)

**Abstract**— this discourse deals with common fixed point theorems for compatible and weakly compatible mappings and also peculiar outcomes on dislocated  $S_b$ -Metric Spaces.

**Keywords**— *dislocated  $S_b$ - metric Space, Common fixed point, compatible, weakly compatible, Property (E.A).*

*MSC (2010): 47H10, 55M20.*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

The typical persuasion of the metric spaces was elaborated in numerous generalizations by several mathematicians. Mustafa and Sims [8] originated a new concept G-metric space. Sedghi and others [12] developed the idea of  $S_b$ -metric space, initiated by Bakhtin [2], as an abstraction of S-metric space and also deduced some fixed point results on complete  $S_b$ -metric space. Yumnum Rohen, Dosenovic, Radenovic [14] modifies the explanation for  $S_b$ -metric space by Souayah, Mlaiki [9] and proved coupled theme in this space. In 1986, Jungck [5] instigated the compatible mappings and turned out the existence of common fixed point theorems. Sessa [13] initiated the concept of weakly commuting mappings.

Aamri, Moutawakil [1] initiated a new property which generalized the theory of non-compatible mappings. Binayak and others [3] started the part of a property (E.A) with weak compatibleness of mappings in G-metric spaces and deduced some common fixed point results.

Hitzler [4] was innovated the concept of dislocatedness in metric space. Zeyada, Hassan, Ahmed [15] developed the completeness in dislocated quasi metric spaces and unspecialized the results by Hitzler in this space. Manoj Ughade, Daheriya [7] presented several fixed point theorems in complete dislocated metric spaces and dislocated quasi metric spaces. M.Saraswathi, S.Dhivya [10] initiated the dislocatedness in  $S_b$ -metric space and tested a fixed point idea in this space under contractive conditions.

Our grail is to propose the property (E.A) and weakly compatible functions in dislocated  $S_b$ -metric space and some common fixed point theorems to a pair of compatible and weakly compatible functions.

**II. PRELIMINARIES**

**A. Definition :- [10]**

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be not an empty set with a function  $dS_b: \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow R_0^+$  satisfying

- (i)  $dS_b(a, d, f) > 0$  for all  $a, d, f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $a \neq d \neq f$
- (ii)  $dS_b(a, d, f) = 0 \implies a = d = f$
- (iii)  $dS_b(a, d, f) = dS_b(d, f, a) = dS_b(f, a, d) = dS_b(a, f, d) = dS_b(d, a, f) = dS_b(f, d, a)$
- (iv)  $dS_b(a, a, d) = dS_b(d, d, a)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$
- (v)  $dS_b(a, d, f) \leq b [dS_b(a, a, u) + dS_b(d, d, u) + dS_b(f, f, u)]$ , for all  $a, d, f, u \in \mathcal{A}, b \geq 1$

Then  $dS_b$  is called dislocated  $S_b$ -metric or simply  $dS_b$ -metric and  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  is called dislocated  $S_b$ -metric space or simply  $dS_b$ -metric space.

**B. Definition:- [11]**

The function  $d_q S_b : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow R_0^+$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-empty set is called a dislocated quasi  $S_b$ -metric (simply  $d_q S_b$ -metric) if

- (i)  $d_q S_b(a, d, f) = 0$  then  $a = d = f$
- (ii)  $d_q S_b(a, d, f) \leq b[d_q S_b(u, u, a) + d_q S_b(u, u, d) + d_q S_b(u, u, f)]$  for all  $a, d, f, u \in \mathcal{A}$

Then the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, d_q S_b)$  is called dislocated quasi  $S_b$ -metric space (simply  $d_q S_b$ -metric space).

**C. Definition :-[10]**

Let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $dS_b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  is said to be  $dS_b$ -convergent provided that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a number  $n_0 \in I$  such that  $dS_b(x_n, x_n, l) < \epsilon$  or  $dS_b(l, l, x_n) < \epsilon$ , ( $n \geq n_0$ ). We denote it as  $dS_b - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = l$  where  $l$  is the  $dS_b$  limit point of  $\{x_n\}$ .

**D. Definition :-[10]**

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $dS_b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  is  $dS_b$ -Cauchy if for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a number  $n_0 \in I$  such that  $dS_b(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m, l \geq n_0$ .

**E. Definition :- [10]**

A  $dS_b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  is said to be  $dS_b$ -complete or complete  $dS_b$ -metric space if each  $dS_b$ -Cauchy sequence is  $dS_b$ -convergent in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**F. Definition :- [6]**

The self-mappings  $p$  and  $q$  defined on a metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, \rho)$  are compatible if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(pq x_n, qp x_n) = 0$  assuming that  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} px_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qx_n = v$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**G. Definition :-[5]**

The self-maps  $p$  and  $q$  of a set  $\mathcal{X}$  are known to be weakly compatible if  $pa = qa$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{X}$  then  $qpa = pqa$ .

**H. Definition:- [3]**

Let  $P$  and  $S$  be two self-maps of a metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, \rho)$ . The pair  $(P, S)$  is said to satisfy the property (E.A) if there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  in order that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = v$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**III. COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM**

**A. Lemma:**

In a  $dS_b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$ , we have (i)  $dS_b(a, a, d) \leq bdS_b(d, d, a)$  (ii)  $dS_b(d, d, a) \leq bdS_b(a, a, d)$

**Proof:**

By rectangular inequality we have,

$$dS_b(a, a, d) \leq b[dS_b(a, a, a) + dS_b(a, a, a) + dS_b(d, d, a)]$$

$$= b[2dS_b(a, a, a) + dS_b(d, d, a)]$$

$$= bdS_b(d, d, a)$$

$$(i.e) dS_b(a, a, d) \leq bdS_b(d, d, a)$$

Similarly,

$$dS_b(d, d, a) \leq b[2dS_b(d, d, d) + dS_b(a, a, d)]$$

$$= bdS_b(a, a, d)$$

$$(i.e) dS_b(d, d, a) \leq bdS_b(a, a, d)$$

**B. Lemma:**

In a  $d_qS_b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{B}, d_qS_b)$  we have (i)  $d_qS_b(a, d, d) \leq bd_qS_b(d, d, a)$  (ii)  $d_qS_b(d, a, a) \leq bd_qS_b(a, a, d)$

**Proof:**

By rectangular inequality we have,

$$d_qS_b(a, d, d) \leq b[d_qS_b(d, d, a) + d_qS_b(d, d, d) + d_qS_b(d, d, d)]$$

$$= bd_qS_b(d, d, a)$$

$$(i.e) d_qS_b(a, d, d) \leq bd_qS_b(d, d, a)$$

Similarly,

$$d_qS_b(d, a, a) \leq b[d_qS_b(a, a, d) + 2d_qS_b(a, a, a)]$$

$$= bd_qS_b(a, a, d)$$

$$(i.e) d_qS_b(d, a, a) \leq bd_qS_b(a, a, d)$$

**C. Lemma:**

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  a  $dS_b$ -metric space with  $b \geq 1$  and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence  $dS_b$ - convergent to  $a$ . Then we have,

$$\frac{1}{2b} dS_b(a, d, d) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, d, d) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, d, d) \leq 2bdS_b(a, d, d).$$

In particular, when  $a = d$ ,  $dS_b(x_n, d, d) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof:**

As in the definition we have,

$$dS_b(x_n, d, d) \leq b[dS_b(x_n, x_n, a) + dS_b(d, d, a) + dS_b(d, d, a)]$$

$$= bdS_b(x_n, x_n, a) + 2bdS_b(d, d, a) \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$dS_b(a, d, d) \leq b[dS_b(a, a, x_n) + dS_b(d, d, x_n) + dS_b(d, d, x_n)]$$

$$= bdS_b(a, a, x_n) + 2bdS_b(d, d, x_n) \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Taking the upper limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (1),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, d, d) \leq b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, x_n, a) + 2b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(d, d, a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, d, d) \leq 2bdS_b(d, d, a)$$

$$= 2bdS_b(a, d, d)$$

Taking the lower limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2) we get,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(a, d, d) \leq b \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(a, a, x_n) + 2b \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(d, d, x_n)$$

$$dS_b(a, d, d) \leq 2b \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(d, d, x_n)$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(d, d, x_n) \geq \frac{1}{2b} dS_b(a, d, d)$$

Also we have,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(d, d, x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(d, d, x_n)$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{2b} dS_b(a, d, d) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, d, d) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, d, d) \leq 2b dS_b(a, d, d)$$

If  $a = d$  then  $dS_b(a, d, d) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(x_n, d, d) = 0.$$

**D. Theorem :**

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  be a complete  $dS_b$ -metric space and  $p$  and  $s$  be two self-mappings on  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $p(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq s(\mathcal{A})$
- (ii)  $p$  or  $s$  is  $dS_b$ - continuous.
- (iii)  $dS_b(pa, pd, pf) \leq b [\alpha dS_b(pa, sd, sf) + \beta dS_b(sa, pd, sf) + \gamma dS_b(sa, sd, pf)]$  for every  $a, d, f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$  with  $0 \leq \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < \frac{1}{b^2}$ .

Then  $p$  and  $s$  have precisely one common fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}$  assigned that  $p$  and  $s$  are compatible mappings.

**Proof:**

Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Choosing a point  $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $px_0 = sx_1$ , since by (i). In general,  $x_{n+1}$  can be taken as  $t_n = px_n = sx_{n+1}$ ,  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now from (iii) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} dS_b(px_n, px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}) &\leq b[\alpha dS_b(px_n, sx_{n+1}, sx_{n+1}) + \beta dS_b(sx_n, px_{n+1}, sx_{n+1}) + \gamma dS_b(sx_n, sx_{n+1}, px_{n+1})] \\ &= b[\alpha dS_b(px_n, px_n, px_n) + \beta dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_{n+1}, px_n) + \gamma dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_n, px_{n+1})] \\ &= b[\beta + \gamma]dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_n, px_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Now by rectangular inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_n, px_{n+1}) &\leq b[dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_{n-1}, px_n) + dS_b(px_n, px_n, px_n) + dS_b(px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}, px_n)] \\ &= b[dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_{n-1}, px_n) + dS_b(px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}, px_n)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore dS_b(px_n, px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}) \leq b^2(\beta + \gamma)[dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_{n-1}, px_n) + dS_b(px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}, px_n)]$$

$$(1 - b^2\beta - b^2\gamma)dS_b(px_n, px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}) \leq b^2(\beta + \gamma)dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_{n-1}, px_n)$$

$$dS_b(px_n, px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}) \leq \frac{b^2(\beta + \gamma)}{(1 - b^2\beta - b^2\gamma)} dS_b(px_{n-1}, px_{n-1}, px_n)$$

$$= qdS_b(px_{n-1}, px_{n-1}, px_n),$$

where  $q = \frac{b^2(\beta+\gamma)}{(1-b^2\beta-b^2\gamma)} < \frac{1}{b^2} < 1$

$$dS_b(px_n, px_{n+1}, px_{n+1}) \leq q^n dS_b(px_0, px_0, px_1)$$

$$\therefore dS_b(t_n, t_m, t_m) \leq b[dS_b(t_n, t_n, t_{n-1}) + dS_b(t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}, t_n) + \dots + dS_b(t_m, t_m, t_{m-1})],$$

$n < m$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq b[q^n + q^{n+1} + \dots + q^{m-1}]dS_b(t_0, t_0, t_1) \\ &= bq^n[1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-m-1}]dS_b(t_0, t_0, t_1) \\ &= b \frac{q^n}{1-q} dS_b(t_0, t_0, t_1) \end{aligned}$$

As  $n, m \rightarrow \infty, dS_b(t_n, t_m, t_m) \rightarrow 0$ .

Thus  $\{t_n\}$  is  $dS_b$ -Cauchy in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $dS_b$ -complete, we have a point  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} px_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sx_{n+1} = a$ .

Now assuming that  $s$  is  $dS_b$ -continuous, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} spx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ssx_{n+1} = sa$  and also  $p$  and  $s$  are compatible then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(psx_n, spx_n, spx_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} psx_n = sa.$$

From (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} dS_b(psx_n, px_n, px_n) &\leq b[\alpha dS_b(psx_n, sx_n, sx_n) + \beta dS_b(ssx_n, px_n, sx_n) + \gamma dS_b(ssx_n, sx_n, px_n)] \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} psx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} px_n$

$$\Rightarrow sa = a$$

Also from (iii),

$$dS_b(px_n, pa, pa) \leq b[\alpha dS_b(px_n, sa, sa) + \beta dS_b(sx_n, pa, sa) + \gamma dS_b(sx_n, sa, pa)]$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fp = pa$

$$\Rightarrow a = pa$$

$$\therefore pa = sa = a.$$

Thus  $a$  is a fixed point common to  $p$  and  $s$ .

Let  $a_1, a_2$  be two common fixed points of  $p$  and  $s$  with  $a_1 \neq a_2$ .

We have  $dS_b(a_2, a_1, a_1) > 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} dS_b(a_2, a_1, a_1) &= dS_b(pa_2, pa_1, pa_1) \\ &\leq b[\alpha dS_b(pa_2, sa_1, sa_1) + \beta dS_b(sa_2, pa_1, sa_1) + \gamma dS_b(sa_2, sa_1, pa_1)] \\ &= b[\alpha + \beta + \gamma]dS_b(a_2, a_1, a_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow dS_b(a_2, a_1, a_1) < dS_b(a_2, a_1, a_1)$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $a_1 = a_2$  is the one and only common fixed point of  $p$  and  $s$ .

**E. Corollary:**

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  be a  $dS_b$ -metric space and  $p$  and  $s$  be two compatible mapping from  $\mathcal{A}$  into itself satisfies

- (i)  $p(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq s(\mathcal{A})$
- (ii)  $p$  or  $s$  is  $dS_b$  continuous.
- (iii)  $dS_b(pa, pd, pf) \leq qdS_b(sa, sd, sf)$ , for all  $a, d, f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ .

Then  $p$  and  $s$  have precisely one common fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $q < 1$ , from the above Theorem D,  $p$  or  $s$  have a common fixed point theorem in  $\mathcal{A}$  which is a single point.

**F. Theorem:**

Let  $p$  and  $s$  be weakly compatible self-maps of a  $dS_b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  satisfying conditions (i) and (iii) of theorem D and either the subspaces  $p(\mathcal{A})$  or  $s(\mathcal{A})$  is  $dS_b$ -complete. Then  $p$  and  $s$  have a common fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof:**

We have by Theorem D,  $\{t_n\}$  is  $dS_b$ -Cauchy in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Assume that  $s(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $dS_b$ -complete subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the subsequence of  $\{t_n\}$  must be  $dS_b$ -convergent in  $s(\mathcal{A})$ .

Let the  $dS_b$ -limit point be  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $c = g^{-1}(a) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $s(c) = a$ .

Since  $\{t_n\}$  contains a  $dS_b$ -convergent subsequence, we have  $\{t_n\}$  is also a  $dS_b$ -convergent sequence.

Now we claim that,  $f(c) = a$ .

Put  $a = c, d = x_n, f = x_n$  in condition (iii) of theorem D.

$$dS_b(pc, px_n, px_n) \leq b[\alpha dS_b(pc, sx_n, sx_n) + \beta dS_b(sc, px_n, px_n) + \gamma dS_b(su, sx_n, px_n)]$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get,

$$dS_b(pc, a, a) \leq b[\alpha dS_b(pc, a, a)]$$

$$\Rightarrow pc = a.$$

$$\therefore pc = sc = a$$

That is  $c$  is a coincidence point of  $p$  and  $s$ .

Now by hypothesis  $p$  and  $s$  are weakly compatible, it gives that  $psc = spc \Rightarrow pc = sc$ .

Now we have to prove that  $pa = a$

Suppose that  $pa \neq a$  we get,  $dS_b(pa, a, a) > 0$ .

If  $a = a, d = c, f = c$  in condition (iii) of theorem D then we get,

$$dS_b(pa, pc, pc) \leq b[\alpha dS_b(pa, sc, sc) + \beta dS_b(sa, pc, sc) + \gamma dS_b(sa, sc, pc)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= b[\alpha dS_b(pa, a, a) + \beta dS_b(sa, a, a) + \gamma dS_b(sa, a, a)] \\
 &= b[\alpha + \beta + \gamma]dS_b(pa, a, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$dS_b(pa, a, a) \leq dS_b(pa, a, a)$$

which is a contradiction.

$$\therefore fa = ga = a$$

Thus 'a' is a common fixed point of  $p$  and  $s$ .

Now, assume that  $a_1 \neq a_2$  be two common fixed points of  $p$  and  $s$ .

Then  $pa_1 = sa_1 = a_1$  and  $pa_2 = sa_2 = a_2$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dS_b(a_1, a_2, a_2) &= dS_b(pa_1, pa_2, pa_2) \\
 &\leq b[\alpha dS_b(pa_1, sa_2, sa_2) + \beta dS_b(sa_1, pa_2, sa_2) \\
 &\quad + \gamma dS_b(sa_1, sa_2, pa_2)] \\
 &= b[\alpha + \beta + \gamma]dS_b(a_1, a_2, a_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$dS_b(a_1, a_2, a_2) \leq dS_b(a_1, a_2, a_2)$$

this is a contradiction.

Therefore  $a_1 = a_2$  is a single common fixed point of  $p$  and  $s$ .

**G. Example :**

Given that  $\mathcal{A} = [0,1]$  and let  $dS_b$  be the  $dS_b$ -metric on  $\mathcal{A}^3$  described as  $dS_b(a, d, f) = [|d + f - 2a| + |f - d|]^2$ ,  $a, d, f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  is a  $dS_b$ - metric space. Let  $p, s: \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^3$  be described as  $pa = \frac{a}{6}$  and  $sa = \frac{a}{2}$ . Here we have  $p$  is  $dS_b$  -continuous and  $p(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq s(\mathcal{A})$ . Also we have  $dS_b(pa, pd, pf) \leq q dS_b(sa, sd, sf)$  is true for all  $a, d, f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $a < d < f$  and  $\frac{1}{18} \leq q \leq \frac{1}{b^2}$ ,  $b=2$ . Clearly 0 is the unique common fixed point.

**IV. PROPERTY (E.A) IN DISLOCATED  $S_b$ -METRIC SPACE**

**A. Theorem:**

Let  $p$  and  $s$  be two self-mappings on  $dS_b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  satisfying condition (iii) in Theorem D and also the below conditions:

- (i) The property (E.A) holds for  $p$  and  $s$ .
- (ii)  $s(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $dS_b$ -closed subspace in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Then  $p$  and  $s$  have a common fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}$  which is unique. Also given that  $p$  and  $s$  are weakly compatible self-mappings.

**Proof:**

Since by (i), there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} px_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sx_n = a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Also since  $s(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $dS_b$ - closed subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have every  $dS_b$ -convergent sequence of points of  $s(\mathcal{A})$  has a  $dS_b$ -limit point in  $s(\mathcal{A})$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} px_n = a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sx_n = sc, \text{ for some } c \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$\Rightarrow a = sc \in s(\mathcal{A}).$$

Now from (iii) of Theorem D we have,

$$dS_b(pc, px_n, px_n) \leq b[\alpha dS_b(pc, sx_n, sx_n) + \beta dS_b(sc, px_n, px_n) + \gamma dS_b(sc, sx_n, px_n)]$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as the upper limit and by Lemma C we get,

$$\begin{aligned} dS_b(pc, a, a) &\leq b[\alpha dS_b(pc, a, a) + \beta dS_b(sc, a, a) + \gamma dS_b(sc, a, a)] \\ &= b\alpha dS_b(pc, a, a) \\ &\leq (\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma)b\alpha dS_b(pc, a, a) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 \leq \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < \frac{1}{b^2}$  and  $b \geq 1$ , we have  $dS_b(pc, a, a) = 0 \Rightarrow pc = a$ .

$\therefore c$  is a coincidence point of  $p$  and  $s$ . (i.e)  $pc = sc = a$

Since  $p$  and  $s$  are weakly compatible mappings, we get  $pa = psc = spc = sa$ .

Now we assert that ‘a’ is the common fixed point of  $p$  and  $s$ .

According to condition (iii) of Theorem D, we get

$$dS_b(pa, px_n, px_n) \leq b[\alpha dS_b(pa, sx_n, sx_n) + \beta dS_b(sa, px_n, sx_n) + \gamma dS_b(sa, sx_n, px_n)]$$

Taking the upper limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} dS_b(pa, a, a) &\leq b[\alpha dS_b(pa, a, a) + \beta dS_b(sa, a, a) + \gamma dS_b(sa, a, a)] \\ &= b[\alpha + \beta + \gamma]dS_b(pa, a, a) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 \leq \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < \frac{1}{b^2}$  and  $b \geq 1$  we have,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_b(pa, a, a) = 0 \Rightarrow pa = a$  (i.e)  $pa = a = sa$

Hence ‘a’ is the common fixed point of  $p$  and  $s$ .

As in theorem D, uniqueness follows.

**B. Corollary:**

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  be a complete  $dS_b$ -metric space and  $p$  and  $s$  be two self-mappings on  $(\mathcal{A}, dS_b)$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of above theorem and  $dS_b(pa, pd, pf) \leq qdS_b(sa, sd, sf)$  for every  $a, d, f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $0 < q < 1$ . Then  $p$  and  $s$  have exactly one common fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}$  given that  $p$  and  $s$  are weakly compatible.

**Proof:**

Since  $q < 1$ , from the above theorem,  $p$  and  $s$  have exactly one common fixed point.

**V.CONCLUSION**

In summary, continuity and commutativity of the maps are minimized and the completeness of the space to the coincidence point is weakened. Also the property (E.A) obtains the act of containing range without continuity to the coincidence point.



**REFERENCES**

- [1] M.Aamri and D.E.I. Moutawakil, "Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions", *J.Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol 270, pp 181-188, 2002.
- [2] I.A.Bakhtin, "The contractive mapping in quasi metric spaces", *Funct. Anal., Gos.Ped. Inst. Unianowsk*, Vol 30, pp 26-37, 1989.
- [3] S.Binayak, Choudary, Sanjay kumar, Asha and Krishnapada Das, "Some fixed point theorems in G-Metric Spaces", *Math. Sci, Lett.1*, Vol 1, pp 25-31, 2012.
- [4] P.Hitzler, "Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics", Ph.D Thesis, National University of Ireland, University College Cork, 2001.
- [5] G.Junck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points", *Int.J.Math., Math. Sci.* Vol 9, pp 771-779, 1986.
- [6] Kastriot Zoto, Ilir Vardhami, Jani Dini, Arber Isufati, "Common fixed points in b-dislocated metric spaces using (E.A) property", *Mathematics bulletin*, Vol 40 (1), pp 15-27, 2014.
- [7] Manoj Ughade, R.D. Daheriya, "Some new fixed point and common fixed point theorems in dislocated metric spaces and dislocated quasi metric spaces", *Int. Jor. Of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research*, Vol 3, pp 35-48, 2015.
- [8] Z.Mustafa and B.Sims, "Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces", *Proceeding of International Conference on Fixed point theory and applications*, Yokohama, Japan, pp 189-198, 2004.
- [9] Nizar Souayah, Nabil Mlaiki, "A fixed point theorem in  $S_b$ -metric spaces", *J.Math. Computer Sci.*, Vol 16, pp 131-139, 2016.
- [10] M.Saraswathi, S.Dhivya, "A fixed point theorem in dislocated  $S_b$ -metric spaces", *International Review of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol 02, pp115-123, 2018.
- [11] M.Saraswathi, S.Dhivya, "On dislocated quasi  $S_b$ -metric spaces", *American Inter. Journal of research in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics*, Special Issue, pp 64-67, 2019.
- [12] S.Sedghi, A.Gholidahneh, T.Dosenovic, J.Esfahani, S.Radenovic, "Common fixed point of four maps in  $S_b$ -metric Spaces", *J.Linear Topo. Algebra*, Vol 5(2), pp 93-104, 2016.
- [13] S.Sessa, "On a weak Commutativity conditions of mappings in fixed point considerations", *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd*, Vol 32(46), pp 146-153, 1982.
- [14] Yumnam. Rohen, Tatjana Dosenovic, Stojan Radenovic, "A note on the paper "A fixed point theorems in  $S_b$ -metric spaces" , *Filomat*, Vol 31(11), pp 3335-3346, 2017.
- [15] F.M.Zeyada, G.H. Hassan, M.A.Ahmed, "A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces", *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, Vol 31, pp 111-114, 2005.