

Two Common coupled Fixed Point Theorems in S_b -Metric Spaces

K.P.R.Sastry¹, N.V.E.S.Murthy², L.Vijaya Kumar^{3*}, P.S. Kumar⁴

¹8-28-8/1, Tamil Street, ChinnaWaltair, Visakhapatnam - 530 017, India

²Dept. of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530003, A.P., India.

^{3*} Faculty of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar University, Srikakulam-A.P - 530 003, India

⁴Department of BS&H, Sanketika institute of Technology & Management, Visakhapatnam,530041,India

kprsastry@hotmail.com¹

drnvesmurthy@rediffmail.com²

vi j j u . kumar64@gmail.com^{3*}

sudheerkumar9732@gmail.com⁴

(*corresponding author- L.Vijaya Kumar)

Abstract:

In this paper, we obtain two common coupled fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces and obtain an example in support of our result.

Keywords: S_b - Metric Space, S-metric spaces, Fixed point.

Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

1. Introduction

In 1906, Maurice Frechet [1] introduced concept of metric spaces and many authors studied with reference to fixed point theorems. Fixed point theory is one of the most important topics in the study of non-linear analysis.

In 1931, W.A.Wilson[2] introduced the notion of quasi-metric spaces and proved some fixed point results in quasi-metric spaces.

In 1989, Backhtin[21] introduced the concept of b-metric space. In 1993, Czerwik [22] extended the results of b-metric spaces and many authors proved some fixed point results on b- metric spaces [21,22].

In 2012, S.Sedghi [3] introduced the concept of S- metric space which is a generalization of G-metric spaces and D^* -metric spaces and many authors proved some fixed point results on S- metric spaces [3,22,23,24,25].

In 2006, Gnana B.T.;Lakshmikantham[17] introduced the notion of coupled fixed point and proved some coupled fixed point results .

In 2018, Sastry[10] introduced the concept of quasiS-metric spaces and proved some fixed point results. Also Sastry[11] proved two fixed point theorems in quasi S-metric spaces.

In 2016, Sedghi[13] introduced the concept of S_b -metric spaces as a generalization of the b -metric spacesand recently Souayh and Mlaiki[12]introduced another concept of S_b - metric space and proved some fixed point results. Several researchers worked on S_b - metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems on S_b - metric spaces [12,13,14,15,16,17].

The aim of this paper is to obtain two common coupled fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces as defined in [12] and obtain an example in support of our result. We obtain results of Bulbul. K. et al.[15] as corollaries.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some known definitions and examples which we use in the next section.

Definition 2.1.[1]: A metric on a non empty set X is a function $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions hold:

- (i) $D(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$;
- (ii) $D(x, y) = 0 = D(y, x) \Rightarrow x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ for all $x, y, \in X$;
- (iv) $D(x, y) \leq D(x, z) + D(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$.

The pair (X, D) is called a metric space.

Definition 2.2.([2]): A quasi metric on a non empty set X is a function $D: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

- (i) $D(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$;
- (ii) $D(x, y) = 0 = D(y, x) \Leftrightarrow x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $D(x, y) \leq D(x, z) + D(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$.

The pair (X, D) is called a quasi-metric space.

Definition 2.3.([3]): Let X be a non empty set. An S -metric on X is a function $S: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$;

- (i) $S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$.

The function S is called an S -metric on X and the pair (X, S) is called an S -metric space.

Example 2.4: Let $X = R^n, S(x, y, z) = |y - z - 2x| + |y - z|$, then (X, S) is an S -metric space.

Example 2.5: Let $X = R^n, S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|$, then (X, S) is an S -metric space.

Example 2.6: Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $S(x, y, z) = d(x, z) + d(y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, then S is an S -metric space.

Observation 2.7: Let (X, S) be an S -metric space. Then for all $x, y, z \in X$, we have

- (i) $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$;
- (ii) $S(x, x, z) \leq 2S(x, x, y) + S(z, z, y)$ and
- (iii) $S(x, y, y) \leq S(x, x, y)$.

Definition 2.8([10]): A quasi S -metric space on a non empty set X is a function $q: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X$

- (i) $q(x, x, x) = q(y, y, y) = q(z, z, z) = q(x, y, z)$ if and only if $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $\max\{q(x, x, t), q(y, y, t), q(z, z, t)\} \leq q(x, y, z)$ for $t \in \{x, y, z\}$;
- (iii) $q(x, y, z)$ is invariant of any permutation of x, y, z ;
- (iv) $q(x, y, z) \leq q(x, z, t) + q(z, y, t) - q(z, z, t)$ for all $x, y, z, t \in X$.

The pair (X, q) is called a quasi S -metric space

Example 2.9: Let $X = \{[a, b] / a, b \in R^+, a \leq b\}$ and define $q([a, b], [c, d], [e, f]) = \max\{b, d, f\} - \min\{a, c, e\}$. Then $q: X^3 \rightarrow R^+$ is a quasi S -metric space.

Example 2.10: Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $q: X^3 \rightarrow R^+$ be defined by $q(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then (X, q) is a quasi S -metric space.

Example 2.11: Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $q: X^3 \rightarrow R^+$ be defined by $q(x, y, z) = \max\{x^2, y^2, z^2\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then (X, q) is a quasi S -metric space.

Observation 2.12: $q(x, y, y) = q(x, x, y)$.

Now we give the definition of S_b -metric space given in [14].

Definition 2.13.([12]): Let X be a non empty set and $s \geq 1$ be a real number. Suppose $S_b: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function which satisfies the following conditions, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$

- (i) $S_b(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $S_b(x, x, y) = S_b(y, y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $S_b(x, y, z) \leq s(S_b(x, x, a) + S_b(y, y, a) + S_b(z, z, a))$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then the function S_b is called an S_b -metric spaces with index s and the pair (X, S_b) is called S_b -metric space.

Remark 2.14: The class S_b -metric spaces is effectively larger than that of S -metric spaces.

Definition 2.15:Let (X, S_b) be an S_b - metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called convergent if there exist $z \in X$ such that $S_b(x_n, x_n, z) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this case we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$.

Definition 2.16: Let (X, S_b) be an S_b - metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if $S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.17:Let (X, S_b) be an S_b - metric space and $\{x_n\}$ sequence in X . Then (X, S_b) is said to be complete S_b -metric space if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to a point $x \in X$ so that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x) = S_b(x, x, x)$.

Definition 2.18: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = x$ and $F(y, x) = y$.

Definition 2.19: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$, and (gx, gy) is called a coupled point of coincidence.

Definition 2.20: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a common coupled fixed point of mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx = x$ and $F(y, x) = gy = y$.

Definition 2.21: Let X be a non-empty set and $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$. We say that F and g are commutative if $g(F(x, y)) = F(gx, gy)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.22: The mappings $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ are called ω -compatible if $g(F(x, y)) = F(gx, gy)$ whenever $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$.

Lemma 2.23[15]: Let (X, S_b) be an S_b -metric space, and suppose that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . Then $\frac{1}{s} S_b(y, y, x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(y, y, x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(y, y, x_n) \leq s S_b(y, y, x)$.

3. Main Results

Definition 3.1: Let Ψ denote the class of all functions $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that ψ is increasing, continuous, $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$ and $\psi(0) = 0$.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, S_b) be an S_b -metric space with index s . Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that,

$$S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gu, ga), S_b(gy, gv, gb)\}) \dots \dots \dots (I)$$

for some $\psi \in \Psi$ and for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. Assume that ψ, F and g satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) $g(X)$ is complete,
- (iii) F and g are ω -comptible, and
- (iv) There is a $k > 1$ such that $2^m \psi^m(t) < kt$ for all m and $t > 0$.

(For example if we define $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ for $t \geq 0$ then $\psi \in \Psi$ and Ψ has property (iv))

Then F and g have a unique common fixed point, and this is of the form (x, x) , that is there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = gx = x$.

Proof: Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$

Since $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$.

We can choose $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that

$$gx_1 = F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gy_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$$

Continuing this process, we can construct two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X

such that, $gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$ and $gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

For $n \in N$, we have

$$S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq S_b((F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), (F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), (F(x_n, y_n)))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_n), S_b(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, gy_n)\} \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

Similarly

$$S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, gy_n), S_b(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_n)\} \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

Write $P_n = \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1})\}$

Then from (3) and (4)

$$P_n \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_n), S_b(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, gy_n)\})$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} \psi(P_{n-1})$$

Therefore

$$P_n \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(P_{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\frac{1}{s} \psi(P_{n-2})) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\psi(P_{n-2})) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi^2(P_{n-2}) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi^3(P_{n-3}) \leq \dots \dots \dots \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi^n(P_0)$$

For $m, n \in N$ with $m > n$

wehave

Then for $m \geq n$

Write $P_n^m = \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_m), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_m)\}$

$$P_n^m \leq \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1}),$$

$$S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}$$

$$\leq \max\{2S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1}), 2S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}$$

$$\leq \max\{2 \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1})\}, 2 \max\{S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}\}$$

$$= \max\{2 \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1})\}, 2 \max\{S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max\{ 2 \max\{ S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1}) \} \} \\
 &\leq 2 \max\{ S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_m), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}, gy_m) \} \\
 &\leq 2 \max\{ \max\{ S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \}, \max\{ S_b(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_m), S_b(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}, gy_m) \} \} \\
 &= 2 \max\left\{ 2 \max\left\{ \frac{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1})}{2}, \frac{S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1})}{2} \right\}, \max\{ S_b(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_m), S_b(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}, gy_m) \} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_n^m &\leq 2 \max\{ 2P_n, P_{n+1}^m \} \\
 &\leq 2 \max\{ 2P_n, 2 \max\{ 2P_{n+1}, P_{n+2}^m \} \} \\
 &\leq 2 \max\{ 2P_n, \max\{ 2^2P_{n+1}, 2P_{n+2}^m \} \} \\
 &= 2 \max\{ 2P_n, 2^2P_{n+1}, 2P_{n+2}^m \} \\
 &= 2^2 \max\{ P_n, 2P_{n+1}, P_{n+2}^m \} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\{ P_n, 2P_{n+1}, 2 \max\{ 2P_{n+2}, P_{n+3}^m \} \} \\
 &= 2^2 \max\{ P_n, 2P_{n+1}, \max\{ 2^2P_{n+2}, 2P_{n+3}^m \} \} \\
 &= 2^2 \max\{ P_n, 2P_{n+1}, 2^2P_{n+2}, 2P_{n+3}^m \} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\{ P_n, 2P_{n+1}, 2^2P_{n+2}, 2^3P_{n+3}, \dots \dots 2^{(m-n-1)}P_{n+(m-n-1)}, 2^{m-n}P_{n+(m-n)}^m \} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\{ P_n, 2P_{n+1}, 2^2P_{n+2}, 2^3P_{n+3}, \dots \dots 2^{(m-n-1)}P_{n+(m-n-1)} \} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\left\{ \frac{1}{s}\psi^n(P_0), \frac{2}{s}\psi^{n+1}(P_0), \frac{2^2}{s}\psi^{n+2}(P_0), \dots \dots \frac{2^{(m-n-1)}}{s}\psi^{m-1}(P_0) \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{s}\psi^n(P_0)2^2 \max\{ 1, 2\psi(P_0), 2^2\psi^2(P_0) \dots \dots, 2^{(m-n-1)}\psi^{(m-n-1)}(P_0) \} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{s}\psi^n(P_0)2^2kP_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{by condition(iv)})
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{gx_n\}, \{gy_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $g(X)$.

Since $g(X)$ is complete

we get $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are convergent to some gx and gy in $g(X)$ respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } S_b(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) &= S_b(F(x_n, y_n), F(x_n, y_n), F(x, y)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy)\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $gx_n \rightarrow F(x, y)$

Similarly $gy_n \rightarrow F(y, x)$,

But $gx_n \rightarrow gx$ and $gy_n \rightarrow gy$

Therefore $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$

Thus (gx, gy) is coupled point of coincidence of F and g

Now we show that F and g have unique coupled point of coincidence.

Assume that (gx^*, gy^*) is also a coupled point of coincidence of F and g

$$i.e F(x^*, y^*) = gx^* \text{ and } F(y^*, x^*) = gy^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } S_b(gx, gx, gx^*) &= S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), F(x^*, y^*)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx^*), S_b(gy, gy, gy^*)\}) \dots \dots \dots (5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly } S_b(gy, gy, gy^*) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gy, gy, gy^*), S_b(gx, gx, gx^*)\}) \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

From (5) and (6)

$$\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx^*), S_b(gy, gy, gy^*)\} \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx^*), S_b(gy, gy, gy^*)\})$$

Therefore $\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx^*), S_b(gy, gy, gy^*)\} = 0$

Hence, $S_b(gx, gx, gx^*) = 0, S_b(gy, gy, gy^*) = 0$

Therefore $gx = gx^*$ and $gy = gy^*$

Thus F and g have a unique coupled point of coincidence.

Next we show that $gx = gy$

Consider $S_b(gx, gx, gy) = S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), F(y, x))$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gy), S_b(gy, gy, gx)\}) \dots \dots (7)$$

Similarly $S_b(gy, gy, gx) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gy, gy, gx), S_b(gx, gx, gy)\}) \dots \dots (8)$

From (7) and (8)

$$\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gy), S_b(gy, gy, gx)\} \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gy), S_b(gy, gy, gx)\})$$

Therefore $S_b(gx, gx, gy) = 0$

Therefore $gx = gy$

Therefore $F(x, y) = gx = gy = F(y, x)$

As F and g are ω -compatible, by taking $u = gx$,

we get $gu = ggx = gF(x, y) = f(gx, gy) = F(u, u)$

This shows that (gu, gu) is a coupled point of coincidence of F and g

As coupled point of coincidence of F and g is unique.

But we have $gu = gx = u$

Hence $u = gu = F(u, u)$

i.e F and g have unique common coupled fixed point.

Corollary 3.3.[15] Let (X, S_b) be an S_b -metric space. $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that,

$$S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(S_b(gx, gu, ga) + S_b(gy, gv, gb)) \dots \dots \dots (II)$$

for some $\psi \in \Psi$ and for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. Assume that ψ, F and g satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) $g(X)$ is complete, and
- (iii) F and g are ω -compatible.

Then F and g have a unique common fixed point, and it is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = gx = x$.

Corollary 3.4. [15] Let (X, S_b) be an S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that,

$$S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{k}{s} (S_b(gx, gu, ga) + S_b(gy, gv, gb))$$

for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$ as some $k \in (0, 1)$. Assume that F and g satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) $g(X)$ is complete, and
- (iii) F and g are ω -compatible.

If $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then F and g have a unique common fixed point, and it is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = gx = x$.

Proof: The result follows from corollary 3.4 by taking $\psi(t) = kt$.

Corollary 3.5. [15] Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping

$$S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(S_b(x, u, a) + S_b(y, v, b))$$

for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. If $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then F has a unique coupled fixed point, and it is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = x$.

Proof: The result follows from corollary 3.4 by taking $g = I$ (the identity mapping on X).

Corollary 3.6. [15] Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that, $S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{k}{s}(S_b(x, u, a) + S_b(y, v, b))$ for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. If $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then F has a unique coupled fixed point, and it is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = x$.

Proof: The result follows from corollary 3.4 by taking $g = I$ (the identity mapping on X) and $\psi(t) = kt$.

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that,

$$S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gu, ga), S_b(gy, gv, gb)\})$$
 for some $\psi \in \Psi$. and

for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. Assume that ψ, F and g satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) $g(X)$ is complete,
- (iii) g is continuous and commutes with F
- (iv) There is a $k > 1$ such that $2^m \psi^m(t) < kt$ for all m and $t > 0$.

Then F and g have a unique common fixed point, and which is of the form (x, x) , that is there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = gx = x$.

Proof: Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$.

Since $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$,

we can choose $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that

$$gx_1 = F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gy_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$$

Continuing this process, we can construct two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X

such that, $gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$ and $gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

For $n \in N$, we have

$$S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq S_b((F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), (F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), (F(x_n, y_n)))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_n), S_b(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, gy_n)\} \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

Similarly

$$S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, gy_n), S_b(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_n)\} \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

$$\text{Write } Q_n = \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1})\}$$

Then from (9) and (10)

$$Q_n \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_n), S_b(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, gy_n)\})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(Q_{n-1})$$

$$\text{Therefore } Q_n \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(Q_{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi^2(Q_{n-2}) \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi^3(Q_{n-3}) \leq \dots \dots \dots \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi^n(Q_0)$$

For $m, n \in N$ with $m > n$

$$\text{Write } Q_n^m = \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_m), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_m)\}$$

Then

$$Q_n^m \leq \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1}),$$

$$S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}$$

$$\leq \max\{2S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1}), 2S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}) + S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}$$

$$\leq \max\{2 \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1})\}, 2 \max\{S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}\}$$

$$= \max\{2 \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}\}$$

$$= \max\{2 \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_m, gx_m, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_m, gy_m, gy_{n+1})\}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq 2 \max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_m), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), S_b(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}, gy_m)\} \\
 &\leq 2 \max\{\max\{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}), S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_{n+1})\}, \max\{S_b(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_m), S_b(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}, gy_m)\}\} \\
 &= 2 \max\left\{2 \max\left\{\frac{S_b(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1})}{2}, \frac{S_b(gy_n, gy_n, gy_m)}{2}\right\}, \max\{S_b(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_m), S_b(gy_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}, gy_m)\}\right\} \\
 Q_n^m &\leq 2 \max\{2Q_n, Q_{n+1}^m\} \\
 &\leq 2 \max\{2Q_n, 2 \max\{2Q_{n+1}, Q_{n+2}^m\}\} \\
 &\leq 2 \max\{2Q_n, \max\{2^2Q_{n+1}, 2Q_{n+2}^m\}\} \\
 &= 2 \max\{2Q_n, 2^2Q_{n+1}, 2Q_{n+2}^m\} \\
 &= 2^2 \max\{Q_n, 2Q_{n+1}, Q_{n+2}^m\} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\{Q_n, 2Q_{n+1}, 2 \max\{2Q_{n+2}, Q_{n+3}^m\}\} \\
 &= 2^2 \max\{Q_n, 2Q_{n+1}, \max\{2^2Q_{n+2}, 2Q_{n+3}^m\}\} \\
 &= 2^2 \max\{Q_n, 2Q_{n+1}, 2^2Q_{n+2}, 2Q_{n+3}^m\} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\{Q_n, 2Q_{n+1}, 2^2Q_{n+2}, 2^3Q_{n+3}, \dots, 2^{(m-n-1)}Q_{n+(m-n-1)}, 2^{m-n}Q_{n+(m-n)}^m\} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\{Q_n, 2Q_{n+1}, 2^2Q_{n+2}, 2^3Q_{n+3}, \dots, 2^{(m-n-1)}Q_{n+(m-n-1)}\} \\
 &\leq 2^2 \max\left\{\frac{1}{s^2}\psi^n(Q_0), \frac{2}{s^2}\psi^{n+1}(Q_0), \frac{2^2}{s^2}\psi^{n+2}(Q_0), \dots, \frac{2^{(m-n-1)}}{s^2}\psi^{m-1}(Q_0)\right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{s^2}\psi^n(Q_0)2^2 \max\{1, 2\psi(Q_0), 2^2\psi^n(Q_0) \dots, 2^{(m-n-1)}\psi^{(m-n-1)}(Q_0)\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{s^2}\psi^n(Q_0)2^2kQ_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{gx_n\}, \{gy_n\}$ are Cauchy sequence in $g(X)$

Since $g(X)$ is complete

we get $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are convergent to some x and y in $g(X)$ respectively.

Since g is continuous, $\{ggx_n\}$ and $\{ggy_n\}$ are convergent to some gx and gy respectively.

Also, since g and F commute,

we have $ggx_{n+1} = gF(x_n, y_n) = F(gx_n, gy_n)$ and $ggy_{n+1} = gF(y_n, x_n) = F(gy_n, gx_n)$.

Thus $S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), ggx_{n+1}) = S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), F(gx_n, gy_n))$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx_n), S_b(gy, gy, gy_n)\})$$

Applying the lemma 2.23, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s} S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), gx) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), F(gx_n, gy_n)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx_n), S_b(gy, gy, gy_n)\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx), S_b(gy, gy, gy)\}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), gx) = 0$

Hence $gx = F(x, y)$.

Similarly, we get $gy = F(y, x)$.

Thus (x, y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g

Now $S_b(gx, gx, gy) = S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), F(y, x))$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gy), S_b(gy, gy, gx)\}) \\ &= \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gy), S_b(gx, gx, gy)\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi S_b(gx, gx, gy) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $S_b(gx, gx, gy) = 0$

Similarly $S_b(gy, gy, gx) = 0$

This implies that $gx = gy$.

Thus we obtain $F(x, y) = gx = gy = F(y, x)$.

Using the lemma 2.23, we get $\frac{1}{s} S_b(gx, gx, x) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(gx, gx, gx_{n+1})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), F(x_n, y_n)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx_n), S_b(gy, gy, gy_n)\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, x), S_b(gy, gy, y)\}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $S_b(gx, gx, x) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, x), S_b(gy, gy, y)\}) \dots \dots \dots (11)$

Similarly $S_b(gy, gy, y) \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gy, gy, y), S_b(gx, gx, x)\}) \dots \dots \dots (12)$

From (11) and (12)

$$\max\{S_b(gx, gx, x), S_b(gy, gy, y)\} \leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, x), S_b(gy, gy, y)\})$$

Hence, $\max\{S_b(gx, gx, x), S_b(gy, gy, y)\} = 0$

Therefore $S_b(gx, gx, x) = 0, S_b(gy, gy, y) = 0$.

Therefore $gx = x$ and $gy = y$

Hence we have $gx = x = F(x, x)$

Let $x^* \in X$ with $x^* \neq x$ such that $x^* = gx^* = F(x^*, x^*)$

Consider $S_b(x, x, x^*) = S_b(F(x, y), F(x, y), F(x^*, x^*))$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gx, gx^*), S_b(gx, gx, gx^*)\})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi S_b(gx, gx, gx^*)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi S_b(x, x, x^*)$$

Therefore $S_b(x, x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi S_b(x, x, x^*)$

Therefore $S_b(x, x, x^*) = 0$

Thus we obtain $x = x^*$

Hence F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Corollary 3.8[15]: Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that,

$$S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(S_b(gx, gu, ga) + S_b(gy, gv, gb)) \text{ for some } \psi \in \Psi. \text{ and}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. Assume that F and g satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) $g(X)$ is complete,
- (iii) g is continuous and commutes with F

Then F and g have a unique common fixed point, and which is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = gx = x$.

Corollary 3.9[15]: Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that,

$$S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{k}{s^2} (S_b(gx, gu, ga) + S_b(gy, gv, gb))$$

for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. Assume that F and g satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) $g(X)$ is complete, and
- (iii) g is continuous and commutes with F

If $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then F and g have a unique common fixed point, and which is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = gx = x$.

Proof: The result follows from corollary 3.8 by taking $\psi(t) = kt$.

Corollary 3.10[15]: Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that, $S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(S_b(x, u, a) + S_b(y, v, b))$

for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. If $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then F has a unique coupled fixed point, and which is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = x$.

Proof: The result follows from corollary 3.8 by taking $g = I$ (the identity mapping on X)

Corollary 3.11. [15] Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Let $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that, $S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) \leq \frac{k}{s^2} (S_b(x, u, a) + S_b(y, v, b))$

for all $x, y, u, v, a, b \in X$. If $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then F has a unique coupled fixed point, and which is of the form (x, x) , that is, there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $F(x, x) = x$.

Proof: The result follows from corollary 3.8 by taking $g = I$ (the identity mapping on X) and $\psi(t) = kt$.

Example 3.12: Let $X = [0, \infty)$. Define $S_b: X^3 \rightarrow R^+$ by $S_b(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - z|, |y - z|\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Thus (X, S_b) is an S_b -metric space with $s = 1$.

Define $F(x, y) = \frac{1}{128}(x + y)$, $g(x) = \frac{x}{4}$ and $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{8}$, next we show that F and g are ω -compatible.

$$\text{In fact } \begin{cases} F(x, y) = gx \\ F(y, x) = gy \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow x = y = 0$$

Thus $(g0, g0)$ is the unique coupled point of coincidence of the mapping F and g . Obviously

$$F(g0, g0) = g(F(0,0)) = 0$$

Therefore F and g are ω -compatible.

Clearly (X, S_b) is a S_b -metric space.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) &\leq \frac{1}{s} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gu, ga), S_b(gy, gv, gb)\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1} \psi(\max\{\max\{S_b\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{u}{4}, \frac{a}{4}\right), S_b\left(\frac{y}{4}, \frac{v}{4}, \frac{b}{4}\right)\}\}) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{\max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{a}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{u}{4} - \frac{a}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{4} - \frac{b}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{v}{4} - \frac{b}{4}\right|\right\}\}) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{a}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{u}{4} - \frac{a}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{4} - \frac{b}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{v}{4} - \frac{b}{4}\right|\right\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32} \max\{|x - a|, |u - a|, |y - b|, |v - b|\} = \text{R.H.S} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) &= S_b\left(\frac{1}{128}(x + y), \frac{1}{128}(u + v), \frac{1}{128}(a + b)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{128} S_b(x + y, u + v, a + b) \\ &= \frac{1}{128} \max\{|x + y - a - b|, |u + v - a - b|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{128} \max\{|x - a| + |y - b|, |u - a| + |v - b|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{128} \max\{2 \max\{|x - a|, |y - b|\}, 2 \max\{|u - a|, |v - b|\}\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{128} \max\{|x - a|, |y - b|, |u - a|, |v - b|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{64} \max\{|x - a|, |y - b|, |u - a|, |v - b|\} = \text{L.H.S} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $L.H.S \leq R.H.S$

Hence F and g satisfy all the conditions of theorem 3.1

Hence F and g have unique common coupled fixed point.

Infact $F(0,0) = g(0)$.

Example 3.13: Let $X = [0, \infty)$. Define $S_b: X^3 \rightarrow R^+$ by $S_b(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - z|, |y - z|\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Thus (X, S_b) is a complete S_b -metric space with $s = 1$.

Define $F(x, y) = \frac{1}{64}(x + y)$, $g(x) = \frac{x}{4}$ and $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) &\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \psi(\max\{S_b(gx, gu, ga), S_b(gy, gv, gb)\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1} \psi(\max\{\max\{S_b\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{u}{4}, \frac{a}{4}\right), S_b\left(\frac{y}{4}, \frac{v}{4}, \frac{b}{4}\right)\}\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \psi(\max\{\max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{4}-\frac{a}{4}\right|,\left|\frac{u}{4}-\frac{a}{4}\right|,\left|\frac{y}{4}-\frac{b}{4}\right|,\left|\frac{v}{4}-\frac{b}{4}\right|\right\}\}) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{4}-\frac{a}{4}\right|,\left|\frac{u}{4}-\frac{a}{4}\right|,\left|\frac{y}{4}-\frac{b}{4}\right|,\left|\frac{v}{4}-\frac{b}{4}\right|\right\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12}\max\{|x-a|,|u-a|,|y-b|,|v-b|\}=\text{R.H.S} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } S_b(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(a, b)) &= S_b\left(\frac{1}{64}(x+y), \frac{1}{64}(u+v), \frac{1}{64}(a+b)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{64} S_b(x+y, u+v, a+b) \\ &= \frac{1}{64} \max\{|x+y-a-b|, |u+v-a-b|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{64} \max\{|x-a|+|y-b|, |u-a|+|v-b|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{64} \max\{2 \max\{|x-a|, |y-b|\}, 2 \max\{|u-a|, |v-b|\}\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{64} \max\{|x-a|, |y-b|, |u-a|, |v-b|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32} \max\{|x-a|, |y-b|, |u-a|, |v-b|\}=\text{L.H.S} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $L.H.S \leq R.H.S$

Hence F and g satisfy all the conditions of theorem 3.7

Hence F and g have unique common coupled fixed point.

Infect $F(0,0) = g(0) = 0$.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements: I am very much grateful to University authorities for giving me the necessary facilities to carry on this research work and also very much thankful to referees who have helped me in developing this paper.

References

[1] Frechet., Sur quelques points duo calculfonctionel,. RendicontidelCircoloMahematicodi. Palermo. 22: 1-74, 1906.
 [2] W.A.Wilson, On quasi metric spaces.American Journal of mathematics Vol. 53, No. 3(July 1931),Pp.675-684.

- [3] S.Sedghi, N.Shobe, A.Aliouche: A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, *MatVesn* 64,258-266(2012)
- [4] K.P.R.Sastry, L.V.Kumar, PS Kumar, Common fixed point theorems for F-Contractions on generalized metric spaces, *Advance in Mathematics* Vol.2018, Number 1, Pp 44-49, 2018.
- [5] K.P.R.Sastry, L.V.Kumar, Some fixed point theorems for dislocated quasi b-metric spaces, *Advance in Mathematics* Vol.2019, Number 1, Pp 72-82, 2019.
- [6] S.Sedghi, Altun, N. Shobe, M.Salahshour: Some properties of s-metric spaces and fixed point results. *Kyung book Malt. T-54*,113-122(2014).
- [7] S.Sedghi,N.Shobe, T.Posenovic: Fixed point results in s-metric spaces, *Non linear functional analysis applications*.20(1)55-67(2015).
- [8] Some fixed point results in S-metric spaces, *Journal of mathematical sciences and applications*. Vol-4, No:1,2016.Pp-1- 3,doi:10.12691/JMSA-4-1-1-Research article.
- [9] K.P.R. Sastry, K.K.M. Sarma, P.K Kumari, Sunithachoudari, Fixed point theorems for contractions in S-metric spaces and KKS metric spaces. *International journal of mathematics and its applications*. Vol-5, Issue 4-E(2017),Pp- 619-632,ISSN-2347-1557.
- [10] K.P.R. Sastry, K.K.M. Sarma, P.K Kumari, Fixed point theorems for ψ -contractions and ϕ -contractions in quasi S- metric spaces.*IJMA-9(12)Dec-2018*,1-14,ISSN-2229-5046.
- [11] K.P.R. Sastry, NVES Murthy, L.V.Kumar, Two Fixed point theorems in quasi S- metric spaces.*JASC-2019*,Page No:1380-1391,ISSN-1076-5131.
- [12] Souayah N., Mlaiki N., A fixed point theorem in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math.Computer Sci.*, 16(2016), 131-139.
- [13] Sedghi Sh., Gholidahneh A., Dosenovic T., Esfahani J., Radenovic S., Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces, *JLTA*,5(2)(2016), 93-104.
- [14] Rohen Y., Dosenovic T., Radenovic S., A note on the paper "A fixedpoint theorems in S_b -metric spaces by NizarSouayah and Nabil Malaiki, *Filomat*, 31(11)(2017), 3335-3346.
- [15] Bulbul K and Yumnam R., Some common coupled fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces., *Fasciculi Mathematici*, June 2018,Pp:79-92.
- [16] K.P.R. Sastry, K.K.M. Sarma, P.K Kumari, Fixed point theorems for $(\psi-\phi-\lambda)$ contractions in S_b - metric spaces.*IJMTT-Vol 56 No.1-April-2018*,28-39,ISSN-2231-5373.

- [17] Jelena.V, GNV Kishore, KPR Rao, S Radenovi, S Sadik., Existence and Unique solution in S_b - metric spaces by rational contraction with application. Mathematics 2019,7,313; doi:10.3390/math7040313,Pp:1-14.
- [18] Gnana B.T.;Lakshmikantham, V. Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. 2006,65,1379-1393.
- [19] Bakhtin I. A, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, Funct. Anal., 30, Unianowsk, Gos. Ped. Inst.,(1989), 26-37.
- [20] Czewik, S., Contraction mapping in b - metric spaces, ActamathematicaetInformaticaUniversitatisOstraviensis 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [21] Czewik, S., Non linear set valued Contraction mapping in b - metric spaces, Attisem mathfiq Uni. Modena, 46(2), (1998), 263-276.
- [22] S.Sedghi, Altun, N. Shobe, M.Salahshour: Some properties of s-metric spaces and fixed point results. Kyung book Malt. T-54,113-122(2014).
- [23] S.Sedghi,N.Shobe, T.Posenovic: Fixed point results in s-metric spaces, Non linear functional analysis applications.20(1)55-67(2015).
- [24] Some fixed point results in S-metric spaces, Journal of mathematical sciences and applications. Vol-4, No:1,2016.Pp-1- 3,doi:10.12691/JMSA-4-1-1-Research article.
- [25] K.P.R. Sastry, K.K.M. Sarma, P.K Kumari, Sunithachoudari, Fixed point theorems for contractions in S-metric spaces and KKS metric spaces. International journal of mathematics and its applications. Vol-5, Issue 4-E(2017),Pp- 619-632,ISSN-2347-1557.